Introduction

*Mycobacterium marinum* is a nontuberculous mycobacterium that is present as a saprophyte in fresh and salt water. It can cause infections in fish and sporadically in humans. The organism is especially prevalent in natural or inadequately chlorinated swimming pools, and in heated aquariums. Infection in humans is uncommon and occurs when contaminated water or infected fish or shellfish come in contact with open skin wounds or abrasions. Since the first reported case of *M. marinum* skin infection in 1951 in swimmers that had swum in a contaminated pool in Sweden, several case reports and case series have been published to date (1–3). The majority of *M. marinum* infections are aquarium-related, and therefore instead of swimming pool granuloma the terms *aquarium granuloma* and *fish tank granuloma* are now used (4). The typical presentation of infection is a solitary or multiple nodules or pustules, and about 25% of patients have a sporotrichoid distribution of nodular lesions (5–6). According to the literature, presentation with erythematous scaling plaques does not occur often. Here we present the case of a 37-year-old male with *M. marinum* skin infection with an unusual clinical presentation. Current diagnostic and treatment recommendations are also reviewed.

Case report

A 37-year-old man presented with painless livid plaques on the back of his right hand that had appeared 1 year earlier (Fig. 1). The first skin lesions had appeared on the nail fold of the fourth finger as a small warty papule. The lesion grew larger, and after few months a new lesion appeared on the back of his hand and the extensor side of the right wrist. He had been treated with local antifungal and antibiotic cream. The patient was otherwise healthy. He had no history of tuberculosis or any other systemic illness. In a detailed medical history, he reported that he had had an aquarium with tropical fish, exotic snakes, and lizards for the past 5 years.

Physical examination revealed two polycyclic livid plaques with irregular hyperkeratotic elevated borders and central regression on the back of his right hand and the extensor side of the right wrist, and two hyperkeratotic papules on the extensor surface of the fourth and third fingers of his right hand. The differential diagnoses included superficial and subcutaneous fungal infections, atypical granuloma annulare, tuberculosis verrucosa cutis, verrucous lupus vulgaris, atypical mycobacterial infection, and vegetative pyoderma. Clinical examination did not reveal other skin or mucosal lesions, lymphadenopathy, or associated systemic manifestations. The Mantoux test was negative. Skin biopsies were taken for histopathological examination and culture.
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for bacteria, fungi, and mycobacteria. The histopathology showed pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia with a nonspecific mixed inflammatory infiltrate with intraepidermal abscess and suggested chronic pyodermic inflammation (Fig. 2). Bacterial culture of the tissue identified Staphylococcus aureus. The results of the cultivation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and atypical mycobacteria were negative, as was polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for M. tuberculosis. For suspected bacterial skin infection, the patient started treatment with oral clindamycin for 4 weeks without any improvement; after that, he was treated empirically with doxycycline for 4 weeks and then with itraconazole for 4 weeks due to suspicion of deep fungal infection without any success. Although the histopathology findings and culture of a biopsy specimen were negative, our suspicion was aroused by his work with tropical fish in an aquarium. We repeated skin biopsies and, after the third examination, the biopsy sent for culture grew M. marinum, confirming the diagnosis of fish-tank granuloma. The patient started treatment with rifampicin at a dose of 750 mg daily in combination with clarithromycin at a dose of 1,000 mg once daily, and after 6 months pronounced regression of the lesions was observed. Follow-up after 12 months was negative.

Discussion

M. marinum infections are uncommon, and estimates of the annual incidence vary from 0.04 per 100,000 in France to 0.27 cases per 100,000 in the United States (7, 8). In the past, outbreaks have been reported among individuals frequenting swimming pools, but, after proper chlorination of this reservoir, the main source of infection has been fish tanks; outbreaks have also been described in fish farms (9). Keeping and cleaning out tanks with infected fish has been reported among individuals frequenting swimming pools, but, after proper chlorination of this reservoir, the main source of infection has been fish tanks; outbreaks have also been described in fish farms (9).

For suspected bacterial skin infection, the patient started treatment with oral clindamycin for 4 weeks without any improvement; after that, he was treated empirically with doxycycline for 4 weeks and then with itraconazole for 4 weeks due to suspicion of deep fungal infection without any success. Although the histopathology findings and culture of a biopsy specimen were negative, our suspicion was aroused by his work with tropical fish in an aquarium. We repeated skin biopsies and, after the third examination, the biopsy sent for culture grew M. marinum, confirming the diagnosis of fish-tank granuloma. The patient started treatment with rifampicin at a dose of 750 mg daily in combination with clarithromycin at a dose of 1,000 mg once daily, and after 6 months pronounced regression of the lesions was observed. Follow-up after 12 months was negative.

Figure 2 | The histopathology showed pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia with nonspecific mixed inflammatory infiltrate with intraepidermal abscess.
the mean duration of the treatment is 4 months (2, 16). Treatment should be continued for 1 to 2 months after the resolution of symptoms (16, 20). In the case of mild disease, the infection can resolve spontaneously in several months to years (34). Small lesions may be treated with cryotherapy, curettage, or excision (35).

In the case presented, the diagnosis was confirmed 3 months after the first visit to our department, and appropriate treatment was introduced 15 months after the initial lesions appeared. Despite the unusual clinical presentation, a high index of suspicion for fish tank granuloma at the patient’s initial visit was present, mainly because of his medical history. The low positivity rate of cultures, which ranges from 70 to 80%, can often result in false negative results (5). Negative results of initial cultures can be explained by inadequate biopsy samples or technical problems with transporting and processing the specimen. Cultivation requires low temperatures for growth and also takes several weeks to become positive. Although the initial results of laboratory and histopathological examinations were negative for a fungal infection or nontuberculous mycobacteria, the patient was treated empirically with clindamycin for 4 weeks and then with doxycycline. Because of no response to therapy after 4 weeks of treatment, an alternative diagnosis was considered, and treatment with itraconazole was introduced. After 4 weeks, no response was observed, but finally the culture of the biopsy sample confirmed infection with *M. marinum*. The patient received a course of clarithromycin and rifampin, and complete resolution was observed after 6 months of therapy.

**Conclusions**

Infection with *M. marinum* is rare and has no pathognomonic clinical presentation. A high level of awareness and accurate medical history are the key factors for establishing a diagnosis, which must be confirmed by histopathologic examination and bacteriologic studies of tissue cultures. Histopathologic appearances are variable and often nonspecific during the first months of infection, and even microbiological tests sometimes do not confirm the infection. We suggest that in all patients with atypical skin lesions and a history of repeated contact with fish tanks, even in the case of negative microbiological results, empirical treatment for *M. marinum* be introduced as soon as possible to avoid progression to deep infections.
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